Strain specificity in antimicrobial activity of silver and copper nanoparticles
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Abstract

The antimicrobial properties of silver and copper nanoparticles were investigated using Escherichia coli (four strains), Bacillus subtilis and Staphylococcus aureus (three strains). The average sizes of the silver and copper nanoparticles were 3 nm and 9 nm, respectively, as determined through transmission electron microscopy. Energy-dispersive X-ray spectra of silver and copper nanoparticles revealed that while silver was in its pure form, an oxide layer existed on the copper nanoparticles. The bactericidal effect of silver and copper nanoparticles were compared based on diameter of inhibition zone in disk diffusion tests and minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of nanoparticles dispersed in batch cultures. Bacterial sensitivity to nanoparticles was found to vary depending on the microbial species. Disk diffusion studies with E. coli and S. aureus revealed greater effectiveness of the silver nanoparticles compared to the copper nanoparticles. B. subtilis depicted the highest sensitivity to nanoparticles compared to the other strains and was more adversely affected by the copper nanoparticles. Good correlation was observed between MIC and MBC ($r^2 = 0.98$) measured in liquid cultures. For copper nanoparticles a good negative correlation was observed between the inhibition zone observed in disk diffusion test and MIC/MBC determined based on liquid cultures with the various strains ($r^2 = -0.75$). Although strain-specific variation in MIC/MBC was negligible for S. aureus, some strain-specific variation was observed for E. coli.
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1. Introduction

Microbial contamination of water poses a major threat to public health. With the emergence of microorganisms resistant to multiple antimicrobial agents [1] there is increased demand for improved disinfection methods. The antimicrobial properties of silver ions were known since ancient times and silver ions are widely used as bactericide in catheters, burn wounds and dental work [2]. Researchers have also recommended the use of silver and copper ions as superior disinfectants for wastewater generated from hospitals containing infectious microorganisms [3,4]. However, residual copper and silver ions in the treated water may adversely affect human health [5]. The emergence of nanoscience and nanotechnology in the last decade presents opportunities for exploring the bactericidal effect of metal nanoparticles. The bactericidal effect of metal nanoparticles has been attributed to their small size and high surface to volume ratio, which allows them to interact closely with microbial membranes and is not merely due to the release of metal ions in solution [6]. Metal nanoparticles with bactericidal activity can be immobilized and coated on to surfaces, which may find application in various fields, i.e., medical instruments and devices,
water treatment and food processing. Metal nanoparticles may be combined with polymers to form composites for better utilization of their antimicrobial activity. Metal nanoparticles are also finding application in various other fields, i.e., catalysis and sensors [7–9]. However, it is also recognized that nanoparticles may have many undesirable and unforeseen effects on the environment and in the ecosystem [10,11].

The antimicrobial properties of silver nanoparticles are well-established [12–15] and several mechanisms for their bactericidal effects have been proposed. Although only a few studies have reported the antibacterial properties of copper nanoparticles, they show copper nanoparticles have a significant promise as bactericidal agent [16]. However, other nanoparticles, such as platinum, gold, iron oxide, silica and its oxides, and nickel have not shown bactericidal effects in studies with Escherichia coli [15,17,18]. Yoon et al. [19] reported the antibacterial effects of silver and copper nanoparticles using single representative strains of E. coli and Bacillus subtilis, where the copper nanoparticles demonstrated superior antibacterial activity compared to the silver nanoparticles. Silver and copper nanoparticles supported on various suitable materials, such as carbon, polyurethane foam, polymers and sepiolite have also been effectively used for bactericidal applications [13,14,20–22]. While various hypotheses have been proposed to explain the mechanism of antimicrobial activity of silver nanoparticles, it is widely believed that silver nanoparticles are incorporated in the cell membrane, which causes leakage of intracellular substances and eventually causes cell death [12,15]. Some of the silver nanoparticles also penetrate into the cells. It is reported that the bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles decreases as the size increases and is also affected by the shape of the particles [23,24]. Although most studies have utilized spherical particles, truncated triangular shaped particles are reported to have greater bactericidal effect compared to that of spherical and rod-shaped particles [24]. It is also reported that bactericidal efficiency is affected by the type of microorganism. In studies with gram negative, E. coli, and gram positive, Staphylococcus aureus, Kim et al. [2] reported greater biocidal efficiency of silver nanoparticles for E. coli, and attributed it to difference in cell wall structure between gram negative and gram positive microorganisms. However, currently there is insufficient evidence to support such conclusions since most research on bactericidal effect of nanoparticles has been conducted with one or a very limited number of microbial strains [12–15].

The objective of this study was to compare the bactericidal effect of silver and copper nanoparticles using various microbial strains. Such a comparative study would reveal strain specificities and would eventually lead to better utilization of nanoparticles for specific application. Three representative bacteria typically recommended for use in antimicrobial assays, i.e., E. coli, B. subtilis and S. aureus were used and studies were conducted with eight strains, i.e. four E. coli strains, one B. subtilis strain and three S. aureus strains. The antimicrobial effect was quantified based on the inhibition zone measured in the disk diffusion tests conducted in plates and by determining the minimum growth inhibitory concentrations (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of nanoparticles in liquid batch cultures.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and bacterial strains

The bactericidal experiments were carried out with gram negative bacteria E. coli and gram positive bacteria B. subtilis and S. aureus in nutrient media, composed of peptone (Loba Chemie Ltd., Mumbai) and NaCl (Merck Ltd., Mumbai) 5 g l⁻¹ each, and yeast extract (Central Drug House, New Delhi) and beef extract (S.D. Fine Chem Ltd., Mumbai) 1.5 g l⁻¹ each. Throughout this study, the same nutrient media was used for all strains, unless otherwise specified. For preparing solid media, the nutrient media was supplemented with 2% bacteriological agar (Himedia Laboratories Ltd., Mumbai) as solidifying agent. The silver and copper nanoparticles were prepared by wet chemical synthesis involving stoichiometric reaction between sodium borohydride and silver/copper ions [25]. The nitrate salts of silver and copper (S.D. Fine Chem Ltd., Mumbai) were used as precursors and reaction with sodium borohydride was conducted by vigorously stirring the reaction mixture. After synthesis, the nanoparticles were washed twice with DI (deionised) water to ensure removal of residual boron. The synthesis and drying of nanoparticles were conducted in a reducing environment provided using pure hydrogen gas. The nanoparticles were subsequently stored in air-tight containers.

E. coli strains MTCC 443 (ATCC 25922), MTCC 739 (ATCC 10536), MTCC 1302 (wild type), MTCC 1687 (ATCC 8739), and B. subtilis strain MTCC 441 (ATCC 6633) were procured from the Institute of Microbial Technology (Chandigarh, India). S. aureus strains NCIM 2079 (ATCC 6538P), NCIM 5021 (ATCC 25923) and NCIM 5022 (ATCC 29213) were procured from the National Chemical Laboratory (Pune, India).

2.2. Sample preparation and characterization

Semi-quantitative analysis of nanoparticles was carried out by energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS, FEI Quanta 200, Holland). The crystallinity of the nanoparticles was further characterized using a X-ray diffractometer (XRD, Philips PW3040/60 X’pert PRO, The Netherlands) employing CuKα radiation. The X-ray diffraction data was collected over the range 20–120° in increments of 0.017 per 15.18 s. This low scan speed was selected to obtain greater sensitivity for detection of impurities in the sample. The nanoparticles were digested with conc. HNO₃ (Suprapur, Merck, Germany) and analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, GBC
Scientific 8440 Plazmalab, Australia) for determining the presence of residual boron in the nanoparticles. The size and morphology of silver and copper nanoparticles were examined using a transmission electron microscope (TEM, Philips CM200, The Netherlands). A suspension of the nanoparticles (0.1 mg) in methanol (10 ml) was sonicated for 15 min and a drop was placed onto a copper grid. After drying overnight for evaporation of the solvent, the nanoparticles on the copper grid were examined using a TEM.

Particle size analysis was performed to determine the size distribution of synthesized silver and copper nanoparticles in DI water/nutrient media. Silver and copper nanoparticles were suspended in DI water and nutrient media contained in conical flasks. After sonication for 10 min the flasks were incubated in an orbital shaker set at 200 rpm. The particle size of suspended nanoparticles was measured with time up to 24 h and the particle size distribution was obtained by dynamic light scattering (DLS, Brookhaven BI-200SM Goniometer equipped with BI-9000AT Digital Autocorrelation Version 2.0 software, USA). DLS analysis was performed using laser light (Coherent INNOVA 70C, USA) set at 488 nm and 15 mW. The analysis was carried out at a fixed scatter angle of 90° and at 25 °C. With appropriate dilution, the polydispersity index (PDI) was maintained at 0.2–0.3 in all the experiments to ensure proper dispersion.

2.3. Disk diffusion test

Bacterial sensitivity to antibiotics is commonly tested using a disk diffusion test, employing antibiotic impregnated disks [26]. A similar test with nanoparticle laden disks was used in this study. A 5 ml suspension of nanoparticles (5 mg ml⁻¹) was sonicated and subsequently filtered through a membrane filter (0.2 µm, 47 mm diameter Pall Gelman Laboratory). The nanoparticle laden filter paper was dried in an oven for 1 h and small disks of uniform size (6 mm diameter) containing 100 ± 15 µg nanoparticles were punched out and stored in a desiccator at room temperature. The bacterial suspension (100 µl of 10³–10⁴ CFU ml⁻¹) was applied uniformly on the surface of a nutrient agar plate before placing the disks on the plate (4 per plate). The plates were incubated at 35 °C for 24 h, after which the average diameter of the inhibition zone surrounding the disk was measured with a ruler with up to 1 mm resolution. The mean and standard deviation (SD) reported for each type of nanoparticle and with each microbial strain were based on six replicates.

2.4. Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC)

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), defined as the lowest concentration of material that inhibits the growth of an organism [27], was determined based on batch cultures containing varying concentration of silver/copper nanoparticles in suspension (20–300 mg l⁻¹). Sterile Erlemeyer flasks (500 ml), each containing 100 ml nutrient broth were sonicated for 10 min after adding the nanoparticles to prevent aggregation of the nanoparticles. Subsequently, the flasks were inoculated with 1 ml of the freshly prepared bacterial suspension in order to maintain initial bacterial concentration 10⁻¹–10⁴ CFU ml⁻¹, and then incubated in an orbital shaker at 200 rpm and 30 °C. The high rotary shaking speed was selected to minimize aggregation and settlement of the nanoparticles over the incubation period. Lower rpm setting during incubation may cause underestimation of the antimicrobial activity of the nanoparticles. Bacterial growth was measured as increase in absorbance at 600 nm determined using a spectrophotometer (Thermo Spectronic, Helios Epsilon, USA). The experiments also included a positive control (flask containing nanoparticles and nutrient media, devoid of inoculum) and a negative control (flask containing inoculum and nutrient media, devoid of nanoparticles). The negative controls indicated the microbial growth profile in the absence of nanoparticles. The absorbance values for positive controls were subtracted from the experimental values (flasks containing nutrient media, inoculum and nanoparticles) [17]. All the experiments were carried out in triplicate. Both silver and copper nanoparticles were tested for bactericidal effect using all the microbial cultures selected for the study.

The minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC), i.e., the lowest concentration of nanoparticles that kills 99.9% of the bacteria was also determined from the batch culture studies. For growth inhibitory concentration (≥MIC) the presence of viable microorganisms was tested and the lowest concentration causing bactericidal effect was reported as MBC as suggested by Avadi et al. [28]. To test for bactericidal effect, a loopful from each flask was inoculated on nutrient agar and incubated at 35 °C for 24 h. The nanoparticle concentration causing bactericidal effect was selected based on absence of colonies on the agar plate.

The release of Ag⁺ and Cu²⁺ ions from the nanoparticles into DI water and nutrient media was studied by suspending 10 mg of nanoparticles in 100 ml DI water/media and sonicing for 10 min. The suspension was kept in a rotary shaker under the same conditions as in the above studies and residual Ag⁺ and Cu²⁺ concentration in the aqueous phase was determined by ICP-AES after 24 h.

3. Results and discussion

The EDS profile of silver nanoparticles (Fig. 1a) indicates that the sample contains pure silver, with no oxide layer. In contrast, an oxygen peak is observed in the EDS profile of the copper nanoparticles (Fig. 1b), suggesting the presence of an oxide layer. The XRD pattern of silver and copper nanoparticles (Fig. 2a and b) were compared and interpreted with standard data of International Centre of Diffraction Data (ICDD). The eight characteristic peaks for silver nanoparticles appeared at 38.1°, 44.3°, 64.4°, 77.4°, 81.5°, 98.7°, 110.9° and 114.9°, which
correspond to crystal facets of (111), (200), (220), (311), (222), (400), (331) and (420) of silver. The eight characteristic peaks for cuprite appeared in the sample of copper nanoparticles at 29.6°, 36.3°, 42.2°, 61.3°, 73.5°, 77.5°, 104.1°, and 108.8°, which correspond to crystal facets of (110), (111), (200), (220), (311), (222), (331) and (420). Each crystallographic facet contains energetically distinct sites based on atom density. The silver and copper nanoparticles both contain high atom density facets such as (111) that are known to be highly reactive [6,29]. The XRD pattern of silver and copper nanoparticles confirm the presence of silver in pure form whereas copper is present as cuprite. No additional impurities were detected either in the EDS or in the XRD profile. While EDS only depicts elements present in excess of 5% (w/w), XRD conducted at low scan speed is more sensitive [30] and assumed to detect elements at low concentration. Estimation of residual boron by ICP-AES analysis after digestion of nanoparticles in conc. HNO₃ indicated boron levels of 0.1% and 0.4%, respectively, in the silver and copper nanoparticles. Thus, the possible presence of other trace level impurities cannot be excluded.

TEM images (Fig. 3a and b) confirm that the metal particles are in the nano range and that they are approximately spherical in shape. Subsequent image analysis revealed that the silver nanoparticles are relatively smaller (mean ± SD: 3.32 ± 1.129 nm; size range: 2.26–10.34 nm for a scan of n = 2582 particles) than the copper nanoparticles (9.25 ± 1.79 nm; range: 6.86–16.53 nm; n = 683). Considerable asymmetry was observed in the particle size distribution profile.

The antibacterial activity of silver and copper nanoparticles was compared for various microorganisms using the diameter of inhibition zone in disk diffusion test. The diameter of inhibition zone (DIZ) reflects magnitude of susceptibility of the microorganism. The strains susceptible to disinfectants exhibit larger DIZ, whereas resistant strains exhibit smaller DIZ. The disks with silver nanoparticles were surrounded by a larger DIZ compared to the copper nanoparticles for all *E. coli* and *S. aureus* strains selected for this study (Figs. 4 and 5a–c). While the DIZ was affected by the type of microorganisms, it was essentially invariant across the various strains of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*. The DIZ for silver nanoparticle impregnated disks was almost 40–50% greater than that observed with the copper nanoparticle impregnated disks for all the *E. coli* strains selected for this study. Similarly, for *S. aureus* the silver nanoparticle impregnated disks were found to be more effective compared to copper nanoparticle impregnated disks, however the difference in the DIZ was merely 10–15%. In contrast, for *B. subtilis*, the disks impregnated with copper nanoparticles showed a significantly larger DIZ, almost 90% greater compared to that observed with silver nanoparticles. Since DIZ was measured on agar plates using a ruler with 1 mm resolution, the possibility of measurement errors exist; however, the method illustrates the potential biocidal effect of nanoparticles to different microbial strains.

The MIC and MBC representing the antimicrobial activity of nanoparticles dispersed in batch cultures is summarized in Table 1. Representative growth profile of microbial strains in the presence of varying concentration of
silver and copper nanoparticles are depicted in Fig. 6a–c. In batch studies, a greater lag phase and lower maximum absorbance (at 600 nm) were observed as the concentration of nanoparticles increased. Similar observation was reported by Sondi and Salopek-Sondi [12] in their studies on effect of silver nanoparticles on a single strain of E. coli. As concentration of nanoparticles increased to MIC of the respective strains, no growth was observed in the flask. The bactericidal effect of nanoparticles is dependent on the concentration of nanoparticles and the initial bacterial concentration [24]. In this study, the initial bacterial concentration was almost constant at $10^3–10^4$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ irrespective of nanoparticle concentration and microbial strain.

As for the disk diffusion tests, the batch studies also reveal differences in sensitivity to silver and copper nanoparticles for the various microbial strains. Among all the E. coli strains selected for this study, the strain most sensitive to silver and copper nanoparticles was MTCC 443. The E. coli strains least sensitive to silver and copper nanoparticles were MTCC 739 and MTCC 1687, respectively. The MIC observed in this study for silver nanoparticles are 40 µg ml$^{-1}$ for MTCC 443, 120 µg ml$^{-1}$ for MTCC 1302, 140 µg ml$^{-1}$ for MTCC 1687 and 180 µg ml$^{-1}$ for MTCC 739. Our results are in contrast with some studies reporting negligible inhibitory effect of silver nanoparticles on E. coli up to 100 µg ml$^{-1}$ [12,23]. However, these studies employed silver nanoparticles of larger size (12–40 nm) and higher initial concentration of bacteria in the batch cultures ($10^5–10^8$ CFU ml$^{-1}$). For E. coli at initial concentration of $10^6$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ suspended in distilled water, Li et al. [31] reported the MIC of silver nanoparticles (~20 nm) as 40 µg ml$^{-1}$. The relatively low MIC is possibly due to suspension of the cells in distilled water compared to suspension in nutrient media as employed in our study. For studies conducted on agar plates, the MIC of silver nanoparticles for E. coli was reported as 75 µg ml$^{-1}$ [6]. In batch studies with E. coli and colloidal silver nanoparticle (size range 2–25 nm), MIC was reported to be in the range of 3–25 µg ml$^{-1}$ for initial bacterial concentration $10^5$–

![Fig. 2. XRD pattern of (a) silver and (b) copper nanoparticles.](image-url)
$10^8$ CFU ml$^{-1}$ [15,23,32]. Due to variation in the *E. coli* strain employed, variation in the size of silver nanoparticles and initial bacterial concentration, direct comparison between the studies is not feasible. Several studies on antimicrobial activity of silver nanoparticles were carried out with colloidal nanoparticles [2,23,32,33], while dry nano-
particles in powder form was re-suspended in the nutrient media in our studies. In aqueous suspension, the mean hydrodynamic diameter of the nanoparticles is expected to be in the same range as that based on TEM. However, in this study, the DLS results depicted that maximum number of silver and copper nanoparticles were in the size range of 12–21 nm and 41–82 nm, respectively both in DI water and in nutrient media. No systematic variation in size was observed with increase in time up to 24 h. The measured particle size using DLS is higher compared to the size measured by TEM possibly due to agglomeration of nanoparticles in water. However, the agglomeration effect was not enhanced by presence of salts in the nutrient media and increasing agglomeration over time was not observed. The agglomeration effect may have affected the bactericidal efficiency and MIC/MBC values as also suggested by Gan et al. [35].

While both *E. coli* and *S. aureus* depict higher sensitivity to the silver nanoparticles compared to the copper nanoparticles, the difference is less for *S. aureus* compared to *E. coli*. Moreover, all the *S. aureus* strains exhibited identical sensitivity to silver and copper nanoparticles and no strain specificity was observed. Similar results indicating no strain specificity was reported by Panacek et al. [23] for effect of silver nanoparticles on two strains of *S. aureus*. Kim et al. [2] reported that gram positive *S. aureus* is more resistant to silver nanoparticles compared to gram negative *E. coli*, based on studies with single strains of each culture. Here, we demonstrate that some *E. coli* strains such as MTCC 739 and MTCC 1687 are more resistant than the *S. aureus* strains. Hence, the bactericidal efficiency of nanoparticles is not solely dependent on the structure of the bacterial membrane.

The gram positive *B. subtilis* strain MTCC 441 was found to be more sensitive to the copper nanoparticles compared to the silver nanoparticles as also observed in the disk diffusion studies. The bactericidal effect of silver nanoparticles on *B. subtilis* appears to be significantly greater in the studies with dispersed nanoparticles compared to the disk diffusion studies. Yoon et al. [19] carried out studies with 200 CFU ml$^{-1}$ of specific strains of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* inoculated in plates with silver (size ~3 nm) and copper (size ~100 nm) nanoparticles and reported that *B. subtilis* is more sensitive to both copper and silver nanoparticles compared to *E. coli*. Moreover, Yoon et al. [19] reported that copper nanoparticles have greater bactericidal effect compared to silver nanoparticles for the single strains of *E. coli* and *B. subtilis* studied. Our study conducted in flasks with initial bacterial concentration of $10^3$–$10^4$ CFU ml$^{-1}$, also revealed greater sensitivity of *B. subtilis* compared to *E. coli* or *S. aureus*, although the size of silver (size ~3 nm) and copper (size ~10 nm) nanoparticles employed were different. However, in the disk diffusion tests conducted on plates, the four strains of *E. coli* demon-
strated greater sensitivity to silver nanoparticles compared to copper nanoparticles, which is contradictory to the results demonstrated by Yoon et al. [19]. It is possible that results of the disk diffusion test reporting antimicrobial activity in terms of DIZ is less accurate compared to the tests conducted in batch culture with dispersed nanoparticles. This may also explain why strain specificity across the various E. coli strains observed in batch cultures with silver nanoparticles was not observed in the disk diffusion test. A good correlation is observed between the MIC and MBC values across all the cultures and the two types of nanoparticles studied (correlation coefficient, $r^2 = 0.98$). A negative correlation ($r^2 = -0.75$) was observed for values of MIC/MBC of copper nanoparticles and DIZ obtained with copper nanoparticles across all the cultures. No correlation could be observed for MIC/MBC of silver nanoparticles and DIZ obtained with silver nanoparticles across all the cultures.

A few studies have been performed to elucidate the mechanism of bactericidal action of nanoparticles. It is difficult to distinguish between the bactericidal activity of nanoparticles from that of the ions released by the nanoparticles [19]. Although this study was not designed to distinguish between the effect of nanoparticles as distinct from the effect of ions, the ions released into the aqueous phase were estimated for 10 mg of nanoparticles suspended in 100 ml nutrient media and DI water. The aqueous phase Ag$^+$ and Cu$^{2+}$ concentration were 4 and 17 mg l$^{-1}$, respectively, after 24 h of incubation in a rotary shaker. The corresponding values for nanoparticles suspended in DI water under the same conditions over a period of 24 h were 0.3 and 0.5 mg l$^{-1}$ for Ag$^+$ and Cu$^{2+}$, respectively. Thus, it

Fig. 6. Representative batch growth profile in presence of varying concentration of silver/copper nanoparticles for (a) E. coli (MTCC 1302), (b) B. subtilis (MTCC 441) and (c) S. aureus (NCIM 5021).
appears that the nutrient media facilitated the release of Ag\(^+\) and Cu\(^{2+}\) ions possibly due to reaction with the nutrient media constituents. The significantly greater release of Cu\(^{2+}\) ions in the nutrient media is possibly due to presence of the oxide layer on the copper nanoparticles and reaction with chloride ions in the media. For oxidized copper particles embedded in an inert, Teflon-like matrix, Cioffi et al. [36] demonstrated significant antimicrobial activity due to release of ions. In addition to the direct effect of the nanoparticles on bacterial membrane, the bactericidal effects observed in our study are also impacted by the release of Ag\(^+\)/Cu\(^{2+}\) ions in solution. The presence of nanoparticles in suspension would ensure continuous release of ions into the nutrient media. Silver or copper ions released by the nanoparticles may attach to the negatively charged bacterial cell wall and rupture it, thereby leading to protein denaturation and cell death [4]. Siva Kumar et al. [13] proposed that oxygen associates with silver and reacts with the sulfhydryl (–S–H) groups on cell wall to form R–S–S–R bonds thus, blocking respiration and causing death of cells. Cho et al. [15] reported that the surface of the cell walls of *E. coli* treated with silver nanoparticles were severely damaged compared to untreated *E. coli*. Cell wall rupture due to silver ions and silver nanoparticles was reported by Lok et al. [33]. The attachment of both silver ions or nanoparticles to the cell wall caused accumulation of envelope protein precursors, which resulted in dissipation of the proton motive force. Silver nanoparticles also exhibited destabilization of the outer membrane and rupture of the plasma membrane, thereby causing depletion of intracellular ATP [33]. The mode of action of both silver nanoparticles and silver ions were reported to be similar, although the nanoparticles were reported to be effective at significantly lower concentration than that of the ions. However, Morones et al. [6] proposed that the bactericidal mechanism of silver nanoparticles and silver ions are distinctly different. For treatment with silver nitrate, a low molecular weight central region was formed within the cells as a defense mechanism, whereas for treatment with nanoparticles, no such phenomenon was observed, although the nanoparticles were found to penetrate through the cell wall. With a detailed study of DNA/protein migration profiles Gogoi et al. [32] demonstrated that silver nanoparticles have no direct effect on either cellular DNA or protein, although the silver nanoparticles were more efficient bactericidal agent compared to the silver ions [33]. For *E. coli* (ATCC 10536) and *S. aureus* (ML 422), silver nanoparticles demonstrated greater bactericidal efficiency compared to penicillin [37]. Moreover, for bactericidal effects on *E. coli*, silver nanoparticles have also depicted synergistic effects with known antibiotics, such as amoxicillin [31].

No reports are available for mechanism of bactericidal effect of copper nanoparticles. We speculate a similar mechanism of action for copper nanoparticles, as for the silver nanoparticles. The reason for greater sensitivity of *B. subtilis* to the copper nanoparticles may be attributed to greater abundance of amines and carboxyl groups on cell surface of *B. subtilis* and greater affinity of copper towards these groups [38]. Copper ions released subsequently may bind with DNA molecules and lead to disorder of the helical structure by cross-linking within and between the nucleic acid strands. Copper ions inside bacterial cells also disrupt biochemical processes [39,40]. The exact mechanism behind bactericidal effect of copper nanoparticles is not known and needs to be studied further.

### 4. Conclusions

Growth studies of different microbial cultures were performed in the presence of nanoparticles to observe their effect on the growth profile. This study shows that silver and copper nanoparticles have great promise as antimicrobial agent against *E. coli*, *B. subtilis* and *S. aureus*. MIC, MBC and disk diffusion test suggest that for all cultures of *E. coli* and *S. aureus*, the antimicrobial action of the silver nanoparticles were superior. Although an oxide layer was formed on the copper nanoparticles, these nanoparticles demonstrated better antimicrobial activity towards *B. subtilis*. The MIC/MBC determined in batch cultures with varying concentration of silver and copper nanoparticles reflected the strain specificity with respect to silver and copper nanoparticles. We assume that copper nanoparticles have greater affinity to surface active groups of *B. subtilis*, which may have led to its greater bactericidal effect. The mechanism of action of the silver and copper nanoparticles is not yet fully established. Combination of silver and copper nanoparticles may give rise to more complete bactericidal effect against mixed bacterial population. Before commercialization, detailed research and comparative study of strain-specific variability is required to determine the bactericidal efficiency of metal nanoparticles.
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